MED 1002 - Gastrointestinal System and Metabolism

Course Name	Code	Semester	Type of course	Theory (hours)	Group work (hours)	ECTS
Gastrointestinal System and Metabolism	MED 1002	II	Mandatory	28	58	6
Faculty, the educational program and education level	Faculty of Medicine, one-cycle Educational Program "Medicine"					
Author (s)	Rusudan Vadatchkoria - Associated Professor, Doctor of Biology Mob.tel.: (+995) 551262542; e-mail: RusudanVadatchkoria@gmail.com; Mustafa Kemal Demir -invited teacher Mob.tel.: (053) 35531246; e-mail: mustafakemal.demir@bahcesehir.edu.tr Marina Kunchulia - invited teacher Mob.tel.: 593 42 48 49; e-mail: m.kunchulia@agruni.edu.ge; Shorena Tukvadze - invited teacher Mob.tel.: 599 21 71 00; e-mail: shtukvadze@yahoo.com Murat Tsintsadze - invited teacher, mob.tel.: 599 00 64 26; tsintsadze.murat@yahoo.com Consultation day - individually					
Educational course format	Lecture, Group work, laboratory lessons					
Educational course Loading	Contact 1. Le 2. G 3. Le 4. M 5. Fi	80 hours hours: 90 h ecture – 28 h roup work - aboratory le idterms – 2 nal exam -2 dent work -	- 52 h ssons – 6 h h h			
Prerequisites	MED 1003 - Cell, Tissue and Organs System					
The purpose (s) of tutorial course/modules	This course is aimed is to explain the development of gastrointestinal system, its components histologic and anatomical structure, its physiologic and biochemical operation and its imaging properties, besides to describe biochemical pathways and regulation of the metabolism.					
Teaching and learning methods	Lecture - Face-to-Face; direct verbal interactive contact. PowerPoint slides for the lecture will be provided for each lecture as a PDF file, one slide per page, to facilitate notes taking. Demonstration –anatomical atlases, models including plastinated cadavers, illustrations, slides and other visual aids; GIS organs structures visualization;					

Laboratory work (anatomical, cytological /histological laboratories)- learning of microscopic prepares of GIS organs, microscopic prepares preparation performing the cross-section of fixed cadaver or parts of cadaver; **Analyses and synthesis** – obtained prepares visualization, comparing, detailed discussion of observed structures, learning and assessment of prepares quality;

Work in group - theoretical knowledge will be analysed and supported by working with anatomical models, including plastinated cadavers, illustrations and other vision aids. On the base of the diagnostic/radiology area of clinic the imagines of GIS organs will be learn (X-rays, esophagogastroduodenoscopy, endoscopy, colonoscopy, USI, CT);

Discussion – questions and answers, answers analysis supported with visual aids.

Abstract preparation and presentation - Students choose material from offered problematic topics or independently, search for appropriate material, will work with the books and present about 10 printed pages in PowerPoint format in auditorium for estimation.

Brief-inquire –short questions and answers;

Work with anatomical literature: atlases, anatomical manuals and internet information technology sources:

Consultation –individual support work with students (weekly)

Maximum score- 100:

- 1. Midterm assessment -60 scores, that includes:
 - 1.1. Attendance -10 scores (K=0.36);
 - 1.2. Work in group 30 scores:
 - 1.2.1 Group work Activity =10 scores;
 - 1.2.2. Laboratory work -5 scores;
 - 1.2.3. Abstract preparation and presentation 10 scores;
 - 1.2.4. Discussion 5 scores;
 - 1.3. Midterm exam 20 scores.

The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program

Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores)

10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues.

9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received knowledge.

8 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent knowledge, has properly developed terminology; to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received knowledge.

7 scores - Student is able to present some factual information sufficiently linked with the topic, to demonstrate a good understanding of the topics selected; to make a good attempt to bring forward a balanced view of some arguments on the issues. Terminology is partially developed.

6 scores - Student is able to make some good comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues; makes poor deductions on most of the topics tackled, analyses some causes and results

Assessment criteria

of human interactivity related to the issues.

- **5 scores** Student is able to demonstrate inconsistent comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues, Student has middle level of knowledge, terminology is developed partially, makes weak conclusions.
- **4 scores** Student demonstrates general overview of the topics. Information generally are linked with the subject, but terminology is not developed sufficiently, student demonstrates irrelevant understanding of the literature.
- **3 points** Student demonstrates general/superficial and inconsistent knowledge of the subject, has insufficient knowledge of the literature.
- **2 scores** Student demonstrates general comments, but has no knowledge of the terminology, no consistency.
- **1 score** Student demonstrates insufficient answer, does not know terminology, does not follow to chronologic manner of the answer, mostly wrong, no knowledge of literature.
- **0 score** Student demonstrates not even elementary knowledge of the subject.

Laboratory work assessment criteria (max 5cores):

- **5 scores:** laboratory method is properly planned; student applies laboratory tools and equipment precisely; Student is able to record data accurately, to determine mistake and knows the way to correct them; Is able to analyze applied method and interpret the results. Laboratory work is performed accurately and thoroughly.
- **4** scores: laboratory method is properly planned; student applies laboratory tools and equipment precisely; is able to record accurately, determine mistakes and correct them. However, he lacks the skills to analyze results. Laboratory work is performed with minor faults.
- **3 scores:** laboratory method is properly planned; student cannot demonstrate relevant knowledge of laboratory tools and applied equipment; makes minor mistakes in the tools using procedure; makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, has some difficult to correct them. Laboratory work is performed with minor faults.
- **2 scores:** laboratory method is planned with minor faults; student cannot demonstrate relevant knowledge in laboratory tools and equipment application; makes minor mistakes in the tools using; student makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, is difficult to find any ways to correct mistakes. Laboratory work is performed with essential faults.
- 1 scores: Laboratory work is planned with essential faults; student is almost unfamiliar with laboratory tools and equipment application; makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, is hard to find ways to correct. Laboratory work is performed with essential faults.
- **0** score: Student is absolutely unfamiliar with laboratory methods, tools and equipment. The assignment is not performed.

Abstract preparation and presentation's criteria (10 scores max.):

- 1. Actuality of appointed problem − 1 score;
- 2. Academic content 1 score;
- 3. Literature data's observation in the frame of subject -1scores;
- 4. Correspondence between the research methods and research purpose -1 scores;
- $5.\ Coherence\ of\ argumentation\hbox{--} 1\ scores;$
- 6. Correctness of conclusion and the connection with the main text 1 score;
- 7. The presented matter's visual and technical aspects 1 score;
- 8. Debating and listening culture 1 score;

9. Accuracy and reliability of indicated references and literature sources -1 scores; 10. Proper language and speaking style -1 scores.

Discussion assessment criteria (10 score- max.)

- 1. Argumentativeness of represented factual material -2 scores;
- 2. Complexity of represented factual material -2 scores;
- 3. Discussion activity 2 scores;
- 4. Debating and listening culture 2 scores;
- 5. Keeping within the time-limit 2 scores.

Midterm Exam - 20 scores

Written test - 40 questions, (0,5 score for each) -20 scores total;

Minimal score of midterm assessment (for final exam admission) – is 11.

Final Exam -40

Is held in the written test form (test consists of 80 questions, each question is rated as 0,5 score) total 40 scores.

The final exam would accounted as passed in case of maximum 70% or more $\,$

(40X70 / 100 = 28 scores).

Credit will be given to the student if he has collected at minimum 51 scores out of 100.

Student's assessment has to be done in the following way:

Positive rate:

- (A) Excellent- 91 or more scores;
- (B) Very Good- 81-90 scores;
- (C) Good- 71-80 scores;
- (D) Satisfactory- 61-70 scores;
- (E) Enough-51-60 scores; (FX) Failure 41-50 scores,

Negative rate:

- (FX) Failure 41-50 scores, which means that a student needs to work more and an independent and considerable further work is required to pass the exam once again to be reawarded;
- (F) Fail 40 scores or less, which means that the student's diligence is not sufficient and student has to learn the subject all over again.

Student can pass the additional exam during the same semester.

The time interval between the final and the additional exams should be not less than 10 days

Anatomy

1.Editor –in-chief Susan Standring, **Cray's Anatomy**. The anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, XIV-th edition, 2014;

The basic literature

2.Keith L.Moore, Arthur F.Dalley. Anne M.R.Agur -Clinically Oriented Anatomy, Wolters Cluwer Health Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, (Gastrointestinal System organs structure), 2008; Chapter 2. 3. Richard L.Drake, A.Wayne Vogl, Adam W.M.Mitchel, Richard Tibbitts, Paul Richardson-Cray's of Anatomy, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, III, 2009;

Physiology

1. Guyton and Hall **Texbook of Medical Physiology**, Saunders Elsevier, XII-th edition, 2011.

	Histology and cells biology
	Abraham L.Keirszenbaum, Laura L.Tres - Histology and Cell Biology , Elsevier Saunders, 2012;
	Chapter 17;
	Embriology
	Keith Moore L; Persaud T.V.N;Mark G Torchia. The Developing Human Clinically Oriented
	Embryology. Elsevier Saunders. IX ed. 2013;
	Radiology
	Learning Radiology: Recognizing the Basics (With Student Consult Online Access), 2e William
	Herring. Saunders; 2 edition, April 14, 2011.
	Anatomy
	1. Michail Schuenke, Erik Schulte, Udo Schumacher - Atlas of Anatomy (Neck and Internal
	Organs), Thieme, 2006
	2. Richard S. Snell - Clinical Anatomy (An Illustrated review with Questions and explanations,
	Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2003; Chapter 2
	3. Richard L.Drake, A.Wayne Vogl, Adam W.M.Mitchel, Richard Tibbitts, Paul Richardson-Cray's
	Atlas of Anatomy, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, 2008
	Physiology
	1.Kim E.Barret, Susan M.Barman Scott Boitano, Heddwen L.Brooks - Ganong's Review of Medical
The auxiliary	Physiology, McGrawHill Lange, 2012; Section IV, Chapter 25-28
literature	2. Stefan Silbernagl, Agamemnon Dispopoulos - Color Atlas of Physiology, Thieme, 2009; p. 228-
	267;
	3.Robin R.Preston, Thad E.Wilson Edited by: Richard A.Harvey - Physiology Lippincott's
	Illustrated Rewiews, Wolters Cluwer Health Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, (Digestion,
	Metabolizm) 2013; Chapter 29-33;
	Histology and cells biology
	1.Leslie P.Gartner James L.Hiatt - Color Atlas of Histology, Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2012,
	Chapter 13,14,15
	2. Bruce Alberts, Alexander Johnson, Julian Levis, Martin Raff keith Roberts Peter Walter -
	Molecular Biology of the Cell, Garland Science Taylor & Francis Group, 2008.

The tutorial/training course content

N∘	Subjects	Lectur es (h)	Labor. (h)	Work in Group (h)
1	General organization of Gastrointestinal System (GIS). Splanchology. Embryologic development of gastrointestinal system's organs. GIS organs topography. General physiological meaning of digestion.	2		4
2	Histology of GIS organs. Anatomy of GIS organs: digestion tract and digestive glands.	2	1	4
3	Oral cavity's organs anatomy: teeth, gums, tongue, hard and smooth palates and throat organs anatomical structure. Teeth formation, their structural formulae and features. Oral cavity's glands (salivary and epithelial).		1	4
4	Digestion in oral cavity (mechanical and chemical). Chewing and swallowing.	2		4

5	Anatomical structures of pharynx, esophagus, stomach. Digestion in stomach. Digestive enzymes and their mechanism of activity. Stomach enzymes features.	2	1	4
6	Structure of small intestine and large intestine (bowel). Digestion process in small intestine. Variety of duodenum's digestive enzymes and their mechanism of activity	2	1	4
	Midterm	0		2
7	Digestive glands (liver, gallbladder and pancreas) histology, structure and function	4	1	4
8	Abdominal cavity's organs topography in whole. Digestive system organs age- specific anatomical features. Digestive system organs the most common anomalies. Abdominal cavity's organs USI.	2	1	6
9	Physiologic and biochemical organization of gastrointestinal system	4		6
10	Physiology of the food absorption	2		4
11	Energy metabolism, hunger and obesity.	2		4
12	GIS' organs investigation by X-Ray, Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, Endoscopy, Colonoscopy, USI, CT.	2		6
	Final Exam			2

Learning Outcomes

Criteria	Competences
Knowledge and Understanding	Student has the deep and consistent knowledge of the following issues, regarding the human body: • explain the embryologic development of gastrointestinal system • list the organs of gastrointestinal system and point their anatomic locations; • GIS organs anatomical structures; • list the histologic properties of the organs of gastrointestinal system-explain physiologic and biochemical operation of gastrointestinal organs • explain metabolic pathways and their biochemical relations • explain the regulation of the metabolism and its molecular basis
Applying knowledge	 Student will be able to: Understand microscopic prepares of GIS organs' tissue in practice. Strengthen the theoretical knowledge about human GIS using the anatomical atlases, models, illustrations and other visual aids; Strengthening of the human GIS theoretical knowledge on the basis of trainings in diagnostic department of hospital (by X-Ray, Esophagogastroduodenoscopy, Endoscopy, Colonoscopy, USI, CT etc.) The received knowledge has to be activated and widely applied for other biological and medical disciplines on the basis of the close logical and systematic connections with the main goal to design the theoretical foundation of human body comprehension by students as the complicated coherent system, where

	each particular structure is mutually connected, dependent and mutually determined by each others.
Judgment Ability	Student will be able to collect and interprete properly the incomplete and abstract data of GIS anatomy, embryology, histology and physiology, with the following drawing of the valid conclusions. Student will have the completed imagination about human organism as a complex system, where each components are in the logical structural and functional interaction with each together. On the base of the theoretical and practical knowledge the scientific thinking and logical reasoning skills will be developed to allow the student working out the appropriate strategy in the concrete academic an clinical situation.
Communication Skills	 Student will be able to: manage the different form of academic and scientific information from different sources (classic and electronic library, the Internet) to work fast and look for the relevant information effectively. These found materials will be planned, processed, analyzed, and to make the best use for the report with the proper conclusions as in in writing, as well as in verbal form. work in group, watching, listening, summarizing, asking and answering questions abilities, is able to participate in the discussion. During the education process the professional and friendly relationship will be formed with the older (professors / teachers) and younger (this and other groups students) colleagues, communication with any person regardless of their social, cultural, religious or ethnic affiliation.