MED1008 - Sensory Organs and	Endocrine System
------------------------------	------------------

Course Name	Code	Semester	Type of course	Theory (hours)	Group work (hours)	ECTS
Sensory Organs and Endocrine System	MED 1008	Π	Mandatory	28	58	6
Faculty, the educational program and education level	Faculty of Medicine, one-cycle Educational Program "Medicine"					
Author (s)	Rusudan Vadatchkoria- Associated Professor, Doctor of Biology, Mob.tel.: (+995) 551262542; e-mail: RusudanVadatchkoria@gmail.com;Mustafa Kemal Demir –invited teacherMob.tel.: (053) 35531246; e-mail: mustafakemal.demir@bahcesehir.edu.trMarina Kunchulia – invited teacherMob.tel.: 593 42 48 49; e-mail: m.kunchulia@agruni.edu.ge;Shorena Tukvadze – invited teacherMob.tel.: 599 21 71 00; e-mail: shtukvadze@yahoo.comMurat Tsintsadze - invited teacher, mob.tel.: 599 00 64 26; tsintsadze.murat@yahoo.comConsultation day – individually					
Educational course format	Lecture, Group work, laboratory lessons					
Educational course loading	Total: 180 hoursContact hours: 90 h, that includes:1. Lecture - 28 h2. Group work - 52 h3. Laboratory lessons - 6 h4. Midterms - 2 h5. Final exam -2 hIndependent work - 90 h					
Prerequisites	MED 1003 - Cell, Tissue and Organs System					
The purpose (s) of tutorial course/modules	This course is designed to provide knowledge about the anatomy and function of the human sensory and endocrine systems. The core topics covered in the sensory organ part of this course are the senses of vision, hearing, smell and taste that provide students with a basic understanding of how humans obtain and process information about their environment. This course will also discuss endocrinology from both an anatomical and physiological view.					

	Lecture - Face-to-Face; direct verbal interactive contact.
	PowerPoint slides for the lecture will be provided
	for each lecture as a PDF file, one slide per page, to facilitate notes taking.
	Demonstration – anatomical atlases, models including plastinated cadavers, illustrations, slides and
	other visual aids; Sensory and Endocrine organs structures visualization;
	Laboratory work (anatomical, cytological /histological laboratories)- learning of microscopic prepares
	of Sensory and Endocrine organs, microscopic prepares preparation performing the cross-section of
	fixed cadaver or parts of cadaver; Analyses and synthesis – obtained prepares visualization, comparing,
	detailed discussion of observed structures, learning and assessment of prepares quality;
	Work in group - theoretical knowledge will be analysed and supported by working with anatomical
Teaching methods	models, including plastinated cadavers, illustrations and other vision aids. On the base of the
	diagnostic/radiology area of clinic the imagines of Sensory and Endocrine organs will be learn (X-
	rays, USI, CT, MRI);
	Discussion – questions and answers, answers analysis supported with visual aids.
	Abstract preparation and presentation - Students choose material from offered problematic topics or
	independently, search for appropriate material, will work with the books and present about 10 printed
	pages in PowerPoint format in auditorium for estimation.
	Brief-inquire –short questions and answers;
	Work with anatomical literature: atlases, anatomical manuals and internet information technology
	sources;
	Consultation –individual support work with students (weekly)
	Maximum score- 100:
	1. Midterm assessment -60 scores, that includes:
	1.1. Attendance -10 scores (K=0.36);
	1.2. Work in group – 30 scores:
	1.2.1 Group work Activity =10 scores;
	1.2.2. Laboratory work -5 scores;
	1.2.2. Laboratory work -5 scores; 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores;
	1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores;
	1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores;
	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores.
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues.
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3. Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3. Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received knowledge.
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received knowledge. 8 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent knowledge, has properly developed
Assessment criteria	 1.2.3.Abstract preparation and presentation – 10 scores; 1.2.4. Discussion – 5 scores; 1.3.Midterm exam – 20 scores. The final scores for group work activity is calculated by the arithmetic average and correspondently to Appendix 1- Scores calculation Program Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 scores) 10 scores- Student is able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information; to demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature, to bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues. 9 scores - Student is able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled; to make appropriate comments on the different perspectives for the most of issues, to demonstrate knowledge of the main literature within the subject and the ability to apply received knowledge.

7 scores - Student is able to present some factual information sufficiently linked with the topic, to demonstrate a good understanding of the topics selected; to make a good attempt to bring forward a balanced view of some arguments on the issues. Terminology is partially developed.

6 scores - Student is able to make some good comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues; makes poor deductions on most of the topics tackled, analyses some causes and results of human interactivity related to the issues.

5 scores - Student is able to demonstrate inconsistent comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues, Student has middle level of knowledge, terminology is developed partially, makes weak conclusions.

4 scores - Student demonstrates general overview of the topics. Information generally are linked with the subject, but terminology is not developed sufficiently, student demonstrates irrelevant understanding of the literature.

3 points – Student demonstrates general/superficial and inconsistent knowledge of the subject, has insufficient knowledge of the literature.

2 scores - Student demonstrates general comments, but has no knowledge of the terminology, no consistency.

1 score – Student demonstrates insufficient answer, does not know terminology, does not follow to chronologic manner of the answer, mostly wrong, no knowledge of literature.

0 score - Student demonstrates not even elementary knowledge of the subject.

Laboratory work assessment criteria (max 5cores):

5 scores: laboratory method is properly planned; student applies laboratory tools and equipment precisely; Student is able to record data accurately, to determine mistake and knows the way to correct them; Is able to analyze applied method and interpret the results. Laboratory work is performed accurately and thoroughly.

4 scores: laboratory method is properly planned; student applies laboratory tools and equipment precisely; is able to record accurately, determine mistakes and correct them. However, he lacks the skills to analyze results. Laboratory work is performed with minor faults.

3 scores: laboratory method is properly planned; student cannot demonstrate relevant knowledge of laboratory tools and applied equipment; makes minor mistakes in the tools using procedure; makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, has some difficult to correct them. Laboratory work is performed with minor faults.

2 scores: laboratory method is planned with minor faults; student cannot demonstrate relevant knowledge in laboratory tools and equipment application; makes minor mistakes in the tools using; student makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, is difficult to find any ways to correct mistakes. Laboratory work is performed with essential faults.

1 scores: Laboratory work is planned with essential faults; student is almost unfamiliar with laboratory tools and equipment application; makes records, but is not able to notice the mistakes; thus, is hard to find ways to correct. Laboratory work is performed with essential faults.

0 score: Student is absolutely unfamiliar with laboratory methods, tools and equipment. The assignment is not performed.

Abstract preparation and presentation's criteria (10 scores max.):

1. Actuality of appointed problem – 1 score;

2. Academic content - 1 score;

3. Literature data's observation in the frame of subject -1scores;

	4. Correspondence between the research methods and research purpose -1 scores;
	5. Coherence of argumentation- 1 scores;
	6. Correctness of conclusion and the connection with the main text - 1 score;
	7. The presented matter's visual and technical aspects - 1 score;
	8. Debating and listening culture - 1 score;
	9. Accuracy and reliability of indicated references and literature sources – 1 scores;
	10.Proper language and speaking style – 1scores.
	Discussion assessment criteria (10 score- max.)
	1. Argumentativeness of represented factual material -2 scores;
	2. Complexity of represented factual material -2 scores;
	3. Discussion activity – 2 scores;
	4. Debating and listening culture - 2 scores;
	5. Keeping within the time-limit – 2 scores.
	Midterm Exam – 20 scores
	Written test - 40 questions, (0,5 score for each) –20 scores total;
	Minimal score of midterm assessment (for final exam admission) – is 11.
	Final Exam -40
	Is held in the written test form (test consists of 80 questions, each question is rated as 0,5 score) total
	40 scores.
	The final exam would accounted as passed in case of maximum 70% or more
	(40X70 / 100 = 28 scores).
	Credit will be given to the student if he has collected at minimum 51 scores out of 100.
	Student's assessment has to be done in the following way:
	Positive rate:
	(A) Excellent- 91 or more scores;
	(B) Very Good- 81-90 scores;
	(C) Good- 71-80 scores;
	(D) Satisfactory- 61-70 scores;
	(E) Enough- 51-60 scores; (FX) Failure - 41-50 scores,
	Negative rate:
	(FX) Failure - 41-50 scores, which means that a student needs to work more and an independent and
	considerable further work is required to pass the exam once again to be re-awarded;
	(F) Fail - 40 scores or less, which means that the student's diligence is not sufficient and student has to
	learn the subject all over again.
	Student can pass the additional exam during the same semester.
	The time interval between the final and the additional exams should be not less than 10 days
	Anatomy
 • · •·	1.Editor –in-chief Susan Standring, Cray's Anatomy . The anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice,
The basic literature	Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, XIV-th edition, 2014;
	2. Keith L.Moore, Arthur F.Dalley. Anne M.R.Agur - Clinically Oriented Anatomy , Wolters Cluwer Health Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2008; (sensory and endocrine system structure) (p. 849-
	meanin Eippincott winnamse winkins, 2000, (sensory and endocrine system structure) (p. 649-

	855,889-914, 951-973, 1053-1075);
	3.Richard L.Drake, A.Wayne Vogl, Adam W.M.Mitchel, Richard Tibbitts, Paul Richardson- Cray's of
	Anatomy, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, III, 2009;
	Physiology
	1.Guyton and Hall Texbook of Medical Physiology , Saunders Elsevier, XII-th edition, 2011
	2. Robin R.Preston, Thad E. Wilson Edited by: Richard A.Harvey - Physiology Lippincott's Illustrated
	Rewiews, Wolters Cluwer Health Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2013; Chapter 8-10, 33-36;
	Histology & Cells Biology
	Abraham L.Keirszenbaum, Laura L.Tres - Histology and Cell Biology, Elsevier Saunders, 2012;
	Chapter 9,11,18,19;
	Embriology
	1.Keith Moore L; Persaud T.V.N;Mark G Torchia. The Developing Human Clinically Oriented
	Embryology. Elsevier Saunders. IX ed. 2013;
	Radiology
	Learning Radiology: Recognizing the Basics (With Student Consult Online Access), 2e William
	Herring. Saunders; 2 edition, April 14, 2011
	Anatomy
	1. Michail Schuenke, Erik Schulte, Udo Schumacher - Atlas of Anatomy (Neck and Internal Organs), Thieme, 2006
	2. Richard S. Snell - Clinical Anatomy (An Illustrated review with Questions and explanations, Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2003; Chapter 6 (p.250-260)
	3. Richard L.Drake, A.Wayne Vogl, Adam W.M.Mitchel, Richard Tibbitts, Paul Richardson-Cray's
	Atlas of Anatomy, Churchill Livingstone Elsevier, 2008
The auxiliary	Physiology
literature	1.Kim E.Barret, Susan M.Barman Scott Boitano, Heddwen L.Brooks - Ganong's Review of Medical
meruture	Physiology, McGrawHill Lange, 2012; Section II Chapter 8-11, Section III Chapter16-21.
	2. Stefan Silbernagl, Agamemnon Dispopoulos - Color Atlas of Physiology, Thieme, 2009; Chapter 11;
	Histology & Cells Biology
	1.Leslie P.Gartner James L.Hiatt - Color Atlas of Histology, Lippincott Williams&Wilkins, 2012,
	Chapter 10,11,19
	2. Bruce Alberts, Alexander Johnson, Julian Levis, Martin Raff keith Roberts Peter Walter - Molecular
	Biology of the Cell, Garland Science Taylor & Francis Group, 2008

The tutorial/training course content

Nº	Subjects	Lectur e (hour)	(h)	Work in group (h)
1	Introduction to Sensory Systems; Categories of Sensory Receptors, Law of the Specific Nerve Energies; Generator (Receptor) Potential.	2		4
2	Human eye's anatomical structure. Eye-bulb structure, the eye auxiliary organs' apparatus.	2		4
3	Vision physiology. Refraction. Accommodation. Visual acute; Cones and color vision. Neural processing of the visual information.	2		4

	Final Exam			2
12	Endocrine part of the testes and ovaries. Testes and ovaries' hormones activity. Endocrine glands age- specific anatomical and functional features.	2	1	4
11	Adrenal (suprarenal) glands. Hormones of the adrenal (suprarenal) glands and their physiological effects. Pineal gland, thymus gland and tissues' hormones;	2	1	4
10	Anatomy and physiology of the endocrine part of pancreas; Hormones of the pancreas and their physiological effects.	2		4
9	Structure and function of the thyroid and parathyroid glands; Physiological effects of the thyroid hormones. Homeostasis of balance the calcium and phosphate.	4	1	4
8	Hypothalamic-pituitary system; Anatomical structure and function of hypothalamus and pituitary gland.	2	1	4
7	Introduction to endocrine system. Endocrine organs (glands) system observation.Endocrine glands, tissues, and cells. Chemical Classification of Hormones; Prohormones and Prehormones; Hormone Interactions: Synergistic and Permissive Effects, Antagonistic Effects; Hormone integration and regulation (feedback mechanisms)	4	1	4
	Midterm exam			2
6	Human body integumentary system: skin and its accessory organs (hair, nails, sebaceous and sweat glands) structure and anatomical features. Cutaneous sensations; Neural pathways for somesthetic sensations; Receptive fields and Sensory acute; Lateral inhibition	2	1	4
5	Olfactory organ (organ of smell); Organ of taste. Test dubs of tongue; Taste and Smell	2		4
4	Human ear's anatomical structure. Vestibulocochlear organ structure. Outer (internal), middle and inner (external) ear structure. The ears and hearing. Vestibular sensation.	2		4

Learning Outcomes

	Student will be able to point sensory organs and endocrine glands with their neighborhood on the
	cadaver and identifies on radiologic (UZI) images. The received knowledge will be activated and widely applied for other biological and medical disciplines on the basis of the close logical and systematic connections with the main goal to design the theoretical foundation of human body comprehension by students as the complicated coherent
Knowledge Applying	system, where each particular structure is mutually connected, dependent and mutually determined by each others. Student will participate actively in the learning process, which includes laboratory - practical classes on the base of the most up-to-date scientific anatomy laboratory, familiarizes the anatomical laboratory facilities and equipment to learn and apply the modern laboratory methods and techniques and consolidate the theoretical knowledge with practice in the course of the laboratory studies. The student will use independently the additional sources of information within the study subjects.
Judgment Ability	Student will be able to collect and interprete properly the incomplete and abstract data of the human Sensory Organs and Endocrine System anatomy, embryology, histology and physiology, with the following drawing of the valid conclusions. Student will have the completed imagination about human organism as a complex system, where each components are in the logical structural and functional interaction with each together. On the base of the theoretical and practical knowledge the scientific thinking and logical reasoning skills will be developed to allow the student working out the appropriate strategy in the concrete academic situation
Communication Skills	 Student will be able to: manage the different form of academic and scientific information from different sources (classic and electronic library, the Internet) to work fast and look for the relevant information effectively. These found materials will be planned, processed, analyzed, and to make the best use for the report with the proper conclusions as in in writing, as well as in verbal form. work in group, watching, listening, summarizing, asking and answering questions abilities, is able to participate in the discussion. During the education process the professional and friendly relationship will be formed with the older (professors / teachers) and younger (this and other groups students) colleagues, communication with any person regardless of their social, cultural, religious or ethnic affiliation.