# MED 3004 – STATISTICAL SOFTWARES and BIOSTATISTICS II

Course Name	Code	Semester	Type of course	Theory (hours)	Work in Group (hours)	ECTS	
STATISTICAL SOFTWARES and BIOSTATISTICS II	MED 3004	VI	Mandatory	15	26	3	
Faculty, the educational program and education level	Faculty of Medicine, one-cycle Educational Program "Medicine"						
Author (s)	Khatuna Katamadze - invited teacher, MD, MPH, Mob. Tel.: 577440646; e-mail: khatunak@gmail.com Nino Lomia -invited teacher Mob. Tel: 595 19 70 55; e-mail: ninolomia@yahoo.com Consulting date and time - individually						
Educational course format	LECTURE WORK İN GROUP						
Educational course volume	Total: 90 hours  Contact hours: 45 h, among them:  1. Lecture – 15 h  2. Team work – 26 h  3. Midterm Exam – 2 h  4. Final Exam -2 h  Independent work – 45 h						
Prerequisites	MED 3005						
The purpose (s) of tutorial course/modules	To teach basic concepts and application of correlation, regression and survival analysis by using the statistical softwares; and interpretatiton of results; correlation's coeffitient acounting in according of database; regressine analyses and other statistical methods awaring.						
Teaching and learning strategy	Lectures will be conducted based on the application of verbal explanation method, demonstration-the method of visual presentation of the information.  In order to develop the skills of making conclusions supported by the arguments the students, during the group work, will defend and justify their opinions, when dealing with situation analysis, and analyze critically the situation created by their course mate. The students will also carry out the analysis of the clinical cases, interpret, classify, assess the data and make synthesis by means of connecting and confluencing of the components comprising the separate issues.  When working on the project the student will use the method of working on the book. Besides he/she has to get familiarized with publications, process the literature, search for the additional material and present the project in slideshow format.						

During the **Poster preparation**, students work on the unknown material in the limited time and then present it, also evaluate each other.

**Consultation** –individual support work with students (weekly)

Maximum score- 100

Final Exam – 40 points

### Other components of midterm assessment are:

- Attendance on lectures 10 points (0.66X15=10);
- Activity on Group work 10 points:
- Poster preparation -10 points;
- Presentation -10 points
- Midterm Exam 20 points

#### Group Work are Assessed Based on the Following Criteria (maximum 10 point)

**10 points** - Student has been able to present complete and thorough knowledge of the subject, a substantial amount of detailed and relevant information. Demonstrate considerable depth of understanding of the studied main and additional literature. Bring forward a balanced view of the main arguments on the issues.

**9 points** - Student has been able to bring forward a consistent number of deductions on most of the topics tackled. make very good comments on the different perspectives on most of the issues. Demonstrates knowledge of the main readers.

**8 points** - Student has been able to bring forward a consistent knowledge, Has properly developed terminology. Demonstrates knowledge of the main readers.

**7 points** - Student has been able to present some factual information sufficiently linked with the topic. demonstrate a good understanding of the topics selected. make a good attempt to bring forward a balanced view of some arguments on the issues. Terminology is partially developed.

**6 points** - Student has been able to make some good comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues. Make poor deductions on most of the topics tackled. analyse some causes and results of human interactivity related to the issues.

**5 points** - Student has been able to demonstrate inconsistent comments on the different perspectives on some of the issues. Terminology is partially developed. Present mediocre level of knowledge. Make poor deductions.

**4 points** - Student demonstrates general overview of the topics. Terminology is not developed. Information sufficiently linked with the topic. Demonstrate irrelevant understanding of the literature.

**3 points** – Student demonstrates general/superficial and inconsistent knowledge of the subject. No sufficient knowledge of the literature.

**2 points** - Student demonstrates general comments, no knowledge of the terminology, no consistency.

**1 point** – Student demonstrates insufficient answer, not terminology awareness, chronologic manner of the answer, mostly wrong, no knowledge of literature.

**0 point -** Student demonstrates not even elementary knowledge of the topics.

#### Project Grading - Maximum 10 points

- **1.** Problem Actuality- 1;
- **2.** Correct planning- 1;
- **3.** Review of the literature on the issue -1;
- **4.** Research methods relevance with the research goals 1;

#### Assessment criteria

**5.** Logical argumentation - 1; **6.** Deductions accuracy and correlation with the main text - 1; **7.** Language and style accuracy- 1. **8.** Visual and technical parts of the material - 1; **9.** Reliability and accuracy of the cited literature - 1; **10.** Listening and discussion culture – 1. Poster preparation and presentation - max. 10 points Time-limit - 2; Academism -2; Visual and technical parts of the material -2; Listening and discussion culture- 2; Language and style accuracy-2. Students are admitted to the final examination if they score no less than 51 points through the midterm exam and final examinations. Midterm Exam - 20 points Is held in the written test form (test consists of 40 multiple-choice questions, each question is rated as 0.5 point Final Exam – 40 Is held in the written test form (test consists of 80 multiple-choice close questions, each question is rated as 0.5point). Students have to score equal or more than 70% from final exam maximum score (40X70/100=28 maximum 28 points from the overall 40) to pass the final examination. Credit will be given to the student if he has collected at minimum 51 pints out of 100. The students' assessment has to be done in the following way: Positive rate: (A) Excellent- 91 or more points; (B) Very Good- 81-90 points; (C) Good- 71-80 points; (D) Satisfactory- 61-70 point; (E) Enough- 51-60 points; Negative rate: (FX) Failure - 41-50 points, which means that a student needs to work more and an independent and considerable further work is required to pass the exam once again to be re-awarded; (F) Fail - 40 points or less, which means that the student's diligence is not sufficient and student has to learn the subject all over again. Student can pass the additional exam during the same semester. The time interval between the final and the additional exams should be not less than 10 days. Statistical Methods in Diagnostic Medicine. Xiao-Hua Zhou, Nancy A. Obuchowski, Donna K. McClish, Nancy A. In: Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Edition: 2nd ed. Hoboken, The basic literature N.J., Wiley. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York. 2011. eBook. The Statistical Evaluation of Medical Tests for Classification and Prediction. Margaret Sullivan

Pepe, Oxford Statistical Science Series. New York. 2004.

The auxiliary literature

# The learning course content

N	Thematics	Lecture (hour)	Work in group Appl. (hour)
	Data entry in statstistical software and data manipulation	4	2
1	Application of the correlation analysis. Application of the simple linear regression	4	2
	Application of the Kaplan Meier method	4	2
	Midter Exam		2
	Other middle data	3	3
	Database analysis		6
	Poster		3
	Project presentation		6
	Final Exam		2

# **Learning Outcomes**

Criteria	Competences
Knowledge and understanding	After the course students will have a deep knowledge of statistical programs used in medicine and their necessity for Health Care
Applying of knowledge	Is able to choose some statistical programs in accordance to the full spectre of information sources.
Judgment Ability	On the base of complete and non-complete database will able to make proper scheme and graphics designing to demonstrate the complete picture; analysis and synthesis of database and proper conclusion making.
Value	Is competent to carry out activities which are beneficial for the health care improvement. Can get involved in the public health care system. Can take part in the public health care actions, as an individual or part of the community.